AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently amended) A method for providing security in a computer system,

comprising:

selecting a set of properties for use in determining members of a clean group if an item is

clean; [[and]]

evaluating an item to determine if it has the specified set of properties; [[, and]]

sending an add request to a clean group server; and

if the clean group server determines that the item does have has the specified set of

properties, the clean group server designating [[it]] the item as a member of [[the]] a clean group.

2. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the items are computers.

3. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein when a computer is to be evaluated, a

clean component is installed on the computer to perform compliance checks.

4. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein a compliance check is performed at a

selected time for an item to determine if the item has the specified set of properties.

5. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein one of the specified set of properties

is whether all of the available updates have been installed.

6. (Original) The method of Claim 5, wherein the updates comprise at least one of

-2-

security updates or service packs.

7. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein if the compliance check fails, a

message is sent to indicate that the object should not be in the clean group.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE 1420 Fifth Avenue 8. (Original) The method of Claim 7, wherein if the compliance check fails, the

clean group membership of the item is invalidated.

9. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 8, wherein the invalidation of the

clean group membership comprises local actions which-may-include including at least one of

hiding or erasing the domain credentials of the item.

10. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 7, wherein if the compliance check

fails, additional steps [[may be]] are taken including at least one of hiding cryptographic keys or

logging out a privileged user.

11. (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein if a compliance check passes, a

message is sent to provide information that will be evaluated to determine if the item should be

in the clean group.

12. (Original) The method of Claim 11, wherein after a message is received and a

determination is made that the item should be in the clean group, a countdown is started and if

another message is not received by the end of the countdown, the item is removed from the clean

group.

13. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein an item in the clean group performs a

self check to determine if it still has the specified set of properties, and if it does not, takes action

to have itself removed from the clean group.

14. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 1, further comprising a clean group

server, wherein the clean group server initiating initiates a status check to determine if the

-3-

members in the clean group still have the specified properties.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

15. (Currently amended) A system for managing security, comprising:

a clean group server;

an update component which includes updates for items;

a clean runtime component, the clean runtime component being installed on an item and

being able to communicate with the update component[[,]] and the clean group server;

the clean runtime component sending an add request to the clean group server; and

the item becoming a member of if the clean group server determines that the item has a

specified set of properties, the clean group server designates the item as a member of a clean

group when selected criteria are met; and

a clean-group server.

16. (Currently amended) The system of Claim 15, further comprising a domain

controller which communicates with the clean group server with which the clean group server

communicates to designate the item as a member of the clean group.

17. (Original) The system of Claim 15, wherein the items comprise computers.

18. (Original) The system of Claim 17, wherein compliance checks are performed for

the items to determine if the items meet the selected criteria.

19. (Original) The system of Claim 18, wherein one of the criteria is whether

selected available updates have been installed.

20. (Original) The system of Claim 19, wherein the updates comprise at least one of

-4-

security updates or service packs.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue

206.682.8100

21. (Original) The system of Claim 18, wherein if a compliance check fails, a

message is sent from the clean runtime component to the clean group server to indicate that the

item should not be in the clean group.

22. (Original) The system of Claim 18, wherein if the compliance check passes, a

message is sent from the clean runtime component to the clean group server to provide

information that will be used to evaluate whether the item should be in the clean group.

23. (Original) The system of Claim 22, wherein after a message is received to

indicate that the item should be placed in the clean group, a countdown is started and if another

message is not received by the end of the countdown, the item is removed from the clean group.

24. (Original) The system of Claim 15, wherein the clean runtime component

performs a self-check of the item to determine if it meets the selected criteria for remaining in

the clean group.

25. (Original) The system of Claim 15, wherein the clean group server initiates a

compliance check for items to determine if they should remain in the clean group.

26. (Currently amended) One or more computer-readable media having

computer-executable components for providing security in a computer system, the

computer-executable components comprising:

a runtime object which is installed for installation on a computer, the runtime object

being run on the computer to determine wherein the runtime object, when executed, determines

if the computer is in compliance has a specified set of properties, and based on the results of the

compliance check sends a message regarding whether the computer should be in a group sends

-5-

an add request to a clean group server; and

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILC 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

instructions for installation on a clean group server for processing the add request, wherein the instructions, when executed, cause the clean group server to designate the computer

as a member of a clean group, if the clean group server determines that the add request is valid.

27. (Original) The media of Claim 26, wherein the compliance check is performed

initially upon installation of the runtime object.

28. (Original) The media of Claim 26, wherein the compliance check comprises a

determination of whether selected available updates have been installed on the computer.

29. (Original) The media of Claim 28, wherein the selected available updates

comprise at least one of security updates or service packs.

30. (Currently amended) The media of Claim 26, wherein after a-message the add

request is received to indicate that the computer should be placed in the group by the clean group

server, a countdown is started and if another message is not received by the end of the

countdown, the item computer is removed from as a member of the group.

31. (Currently amended) The media of Claim 26, wherein the clean runtime object

performs initiates a compliance check on the computer.

32. (Currently amended) The media of Claim 26, wherein [[a]] the clean group server

communicates with the runtime object to initiate a compliance check.

33. (Currently amended) A method for providing security in a computer system,

comprising:

determining if a computer is in compliance; and selecting a set of properties for use in

determining if a computer is clean;

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PIJC} 1420 Fifth Avenue evaluating a computer to determine if it has the specified set of properties;

sending an add request to a clean group server; and

based on whether or not the clean group server determines that the computer is in

compliance, the clean group server disabling or enabling the computer domain account.

34. (Original) The method of Claim 33, wherein when a new computer is to be added

to the domain account, the new computer's account is placed in a disabled state until the

computer is proved to be in compliance.

35. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 33, wherein when a new computer is

to be added to the domain account, the domain join operation is predicated on proving that the

computer is in compliance by requiring [[a]] the clean group server to participate in the domain

join operations.

36. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 33, wherein the compliance check

evaluating a computer comprises determining whether available updates have been installed on

the computer.

37. (Original) The method of Claim 33, wherein the computer periodically performs

compliance checks.

38. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 33, wherein [[a]] the clean group

server periodically initiates a compliance check on the computer.

39. (Currently amended) A method for providing security in a computer system,

comprising:

performing compliance checks for items;

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**11.0 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-7-

placing items which pass the compliance check into a clean group; and

removing items from the clean group which fail the compliance check;

wherein items within the clean group can access a collection of IPSec communication

requirements and parameters that allow them to communicate with other items within the clean

group; and

items not within the clean group cannot access the collection of IPSec communication

requirements and parameters, and are thereby quarantined from receiving information from or

sending information to items within the clean group.

40. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein after an item passes a compliance

check and is placed in the clean group, a countdown is started and if another compliance check is

not passed by the end of the countdown, the item is removed from the clean group.

41. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein the item is a computer.

42. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein the item performs a compliance

check.

43. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein a clean group server initiates a

compliance check on the item.

44. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein the compliance check is performed

by the item communicating with an update Web site to determine if updates are available for the

-8-

item.

45. (Original) The method of Claim 44, wherein the item communicates with a clean

group server to establish its membership in the clean group.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILE 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206 682.8100

46. (Original) The method of Claim 45, wherein the clean group server

communicates with a domain controller.

(Currently amended) The method of Claim 39, wherein a compliance check 47.

[[may be]] is initiated by one or more of a client coming online, changes in client

status/configuration, changes in network status/configuration, or changes to a compliance policy.

48. (Original) The method of Claim 39, wherein a clean group server communicates

to non-compliant items how to get back into compliance.

49. (Original) The method of Claim 48, wherein the non-compliant items are directed

to a Web site with online instructions to the user, and once the instructions are followed, another

server-assisted compliance check is initiated.

50. (Original) The method of Claim 48, wherein the non-compliant items are

instructed how to get into the compliant state automatically without requiring a user's

involvement.

51. (Currently amended) The method of Claim 39, wherein an item [[may be]] is a

user, and a user's clean group membership is evaluated on the basis of whether the user's

computer is in compliance.

52. (Canceled)

53. (Currently amended) The method of Claim [[52]] 39, wherein items within the

clean group is utilized to provide enforcement of the computer security policy are given access to

the collection of IPSec settings by binding active directory group policy to the clean group

-9-

membership such that only members of the <u>clean</u> group can read the policy.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLIC 1420 Fifth Avenue

54-55. (Canceled)

56. (Currently amended) The method of Claim [[55]] 39, wherein a client that

changes state from membership in the clean group to non-membership is required to clear all

policy settings distributed via the clean group.

57-59. (Canceled)

60. (New) The method of Claim 1, wherein if the clean group server determines that

the item does not have the specified set of properties, the clean group server designating the item

as a member of a dirty group.

61. (New) The system of Claim 15, wherein if the clean group server determines that

the item does not have the specified set of properties, the clean group server designating the item

as a member of a dirty group.

62. (New) The method of Claim 8, wherein the invalidation of the clean group

membership comprises local actions including at least erasing the domain credentials of the item.

63. (New) The method of Claim 7, wherein if the compliance check fails, additional

steps are taken including at least logging out a privileged user.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPELC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Scattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

-10-